SAF-B04-002 100 BC Burial Grounds – Soil Full Protocol FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF VALIDATION PACKAGE TO:

Jeanette Duncan (2)

MIP 9-27-05 INITIAL DATE

SDG H3321 SAF-B04-002

Sample Location/Waste Site: 600-233



Date:

9 September 2005

To:

Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

100 BC Burial Grounds - Soil Full Protocol - Waste Site 600-233

Subject: Semivolatile - Data Package No. H3321-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H3321-LLI prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table,

Sammole (E)	A GLAND CONTRACTOR		#Validationer	The position of
J03WJ1	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ2	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ3	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ4	8/9/05	Soil	C	See note 1

^{1 -} Semivolatiles by 8270C.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

Holding Times

Analytical holding times were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Samples must be extracted within 14 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two

times the limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were met.

· Method Blanks

Method blank analyses are conducted to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. Analytical results for analytes present in any sample at less than five times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects and flagged "U". Common laboratory contaminants present in samples at less than ten times the concentration of that analyte found in the associated blank are qualified as non-detects. If a sample result is less than the CRQL and is less than five times (or less than ten times for lab contaminants) the highest associated blank result, the sample result value is raised to the CRQL level and qualified as undetected "U".

Due to method blank contamination, the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate result in all samples were qualified as undetected, raised to the RDL and flagged "U".

Due to method blank contamination, the di-n-butylphthalate and benzo(g,h,i)perylene results in sample J03WJ2 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".

Due to method blank contamination, the di-n-butylphthalate, benzo(b)fluoranthene, ideno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and benzo(g,h,i)perylene results in sample JO3WJ3 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".

Due to method blank contamination, the benzo(k)fluoranthene results in samples J03WJ1 and J03WJ3 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".

All other method blank results were acceptable.

Field Blanks

One field blank (J03WJ4) was submitted for analysis. No analytes were detected in the field blank.

· Accuracy

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate & Blank Spike Recoveries

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data and the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate analyses are performed in duplicate using five compounds for which percent recoveries must be within a range of 50-150% or within laboratory control limits. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Undetected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Undetected sample results are not qualified if the spike recovery is above control limits. Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Surrogate Recovery

The analyses of surrogate compounds provide a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the EPA CLP program. If two surrogates of the same class of compounds (base/neutral or acid) are out of control limits, all associated sample results greater than the contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Sample results less than the CRQL and below the lower control limit are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results less than the CRQL with recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification. If a surrogate recovery is less than 10%, detects are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All surrogate results were acceptable.

Precision

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike (MS)/matrix spike duplicate (MSD) results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes.

Precision is expressed by the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. Samples results must be within RPD limits of +/-30%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

All precision results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

· Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQL's) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. Thirty-two analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required. All other analytes met the RQL.

· Completeness

Data package No. H3321-LLI was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

The following minor deficiencies were noted:

• Due to method blank contamination, the bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate result in all samples were qualified as undetected, raised to the RDL and flagged "U".

- Due to method blank contamination, the di-n-butylphthalate and benzo(g,h,i)perylene results in sample J03WJ2 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".
- Due to method blank contamination, the di-n-butylphthalate, benzo(b)fluoranthene, ideno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene and benzo(g,h,i)perylene results in sample J03WJ3 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".
- Due to method blank contamination, the benzo(k)fluoranthene results in samples J03WJ1 and J03WJ3 were raised to the RQL, qualified as undetected and flagged "U".

Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

Thirty-two analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, Validation Statement of Work, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the same quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2

Summary of Data Qualification

SEMIVOLATILE DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: H3324	REVENER.	Project: 600+283	PAGE (IPPE)
COMMENTS:	A section of these are served.		
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Di-n-butylphthalate Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U at RQL	J03WJ2	Method blank contamination
Di-n-butylphthalate Benzo(b)fluoranthene Ideno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	U at RQL	J03WJ3	Method blank contamination
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	U at RQL	All	Method blank contamination
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	U at RQL	J03WJ1, J03WJ3	Method blank contamination

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: BECHTEL-HANFORD	T			1					
Laboratory: LLI	SDG:	H3321		1				_	_
Sample Number		J03WJ1		J03WJ2		J03WJ3		J03WJ4	
Remarks	Remarks							E. Blank	
Sample Date		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05	
Extraction Date	_	8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05	
Analysis Date		8/16/05		8/16/05		8/16/05		8/16/05	
Semivolatile (8270C)	RQL		a	Result	Q_	Result	a		α
Phenol	660	330		330	U_	330		330	
bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether	660	330	ح	330	Ų	330	U	330	U
2-Chlorophenol	660	330	Ü	330	υ_	330	υ	330	Ū_
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	660	330	U	330	U	330	J	330	U
1,4-Dichiorobenzene	660	330	U_	330	U	330	u_	330	υ
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	660	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	
2-Methylphenol	660	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	660			330	U	330		330	
3 and/or 4-Methylphenol	660	330	U	330	U	330	U_	330	U_
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	660	330	v	330	U	330	U	330	υ
Hexachloroethane	660	330	U	330	U	330	Ų_	330	U
Nitrobenzene	660	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
Isophorone	660	330	U	330	U	330	U	330	U
2-Nitrophenol	660	330	U	330	U	330		330	U
2,4-Dimethy!phenol	660	330	Ü	330	U	330	U	330	U
bis(2-Chioroethoxy)methane	660	330	U	330	υ	330	U	330	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	660	330	υ	330	U	330		330	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	660	330	U	330	U	330		330	U
Naphthalene	660	330	υ	330	U	330	U	330	U
4-Chloroaniline	660	330	U	330	U	330		330	U
Hexachlorobutadiene	660	330		330	_	330		330	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	660	330	U	330		330		330	
2-Methylnaphthalene	660			330		330		330	
Hexachiorocyclopentadiene	660	330	U_	330	υ	330		330	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	660	330	U	330	υ	330		330	U
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol*	660			830	U	830	U	830	U
2-Chloronaphthalene	660	330	U	330	U	330	υ	330	U
2-Nitroaniline*	660			830	U	830	U	830	U
Dimethylphthalate	660			330	U	330	U	330	υ
Acenaphthylene	660			330	U	330	U	330	
2.6-Dinitrotoluene	660			330		330	Ü	330	Ü

Project: BECHTEL-HANFORD				1					
Laboratory: LLI	SDG:	H3321		1					
Sample Number		J03WJ1		J03WJ2		J03WJ3		J03WJ4	
Remarks								E. Blank	
Sample Date		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05	
Extraction Date		8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05	
Analysis Date		8/16/05		8/16/05		8/16/05		8/16/05	
Semivolatile (8270C)	RQL	Result	ď	Result	ø	Result	q	Result	Q
3-Nitroaniline*	660	830	_	830		830	J	830	
Acenaphthene	660	330		330		330		330	
2,4-Dinitrophenol*	660			830	U	830		830	U
4-Nitrophenol*	660	830		830		830		830	_
Dibenzofuran	660			330		330		330	
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	660	330	Ų	330		330		330	
Diethylphthalate	660	330		330		330		330	
4-Chlorophenyl-phenyl ether	660	330		330	U	330		330	
Fluorene	660	330		330		330		330	
4-Nitroaniline*	660	830		830		830		830	
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol*	660	830		830		830		830	U
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	660	330		330		330		330	
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	660	330		330		330		330	
Hexachlorobenzene	660	330		330		330		330	
Pentachlorophenol*	660	830		830	Ų	830	υ	830	
Phenanthrene	660	330		340		37		330	
Anthracene	660	330		47		330		330	
Carbazole	660	330		330	_	330		330	
Di-n-butylphthalate	660	330	U	660		660	υ	330	
Fluoranthene	660			690		140		330	
Pyrene	660	23		510		120		_330	
Butyibenzyiphthalate	660	330		28		330		330	
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	660	330	_	330		330	υ	330	
Benzo(a)anthracene	660		1	290	-	84	ļ	330	
Chrysene	660			340		100		330	
bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	660			660		660		660	U
Di-n-octylphthalate	660			330	_	330		330	
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	660			220		660		330	
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	660	660		180	_	660	U	330	
Benzo(a)pyrene	660			180		63	<u> </u>	330	
indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	660			110		660	U_	330	
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	660			61		25	L	330	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	660	330	U	660	U	660	U	330	U

Report Date: 08/18/05 08:03

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

Semivolatiles by GC/MS, HSL List

Work Order: 11343606001 Page: la Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002 RFW Batch Number: 0508L141 J03WJ1 J03WJ2 J03WJ3 J03WJ4 J03WJ1 J03WJ1 Cust ID: 002 003 004 001 MSD 001 001 MS RFW#: Sample SOIL SOLL SOIL SOIL SOIL Matrix: SOTT Information 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 D.F.: ug/Kg ug/Kg ug/Kg uq/Kq ug/Kg ug/Kg Units: ¥ 71 Ł 83 욯 Nitrobenzene-d5 74 Ł 67 ¥ 74 66 욯 76 ¥ 86 76 욯. 81 ¥ 89 ¥ 2-Fluorobiphenyl 80 Surrogate 104 ¥ 114 ł 124 왐 85 99 Terphenyl-d14 106 ¥ Recovery 73 ¥ 80 ¥ 93 ¥ * 69 ¥ 77 Phenol-d5 77 66 ¥ 76 89 왛 * 64 ¥ 68 2-Fluorophenol 73 106 ¥ ¥ 89 ş. 107 102 104 2.4.6-Tribromophenol 93 --fl-----fl =====f1 ===== U ٠. 75 330 П 330 U 330 330 U 62 Phenol ¥ 68 ¥ 330 U 330 U 330 U 62 bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether 330 71 330 330 IJ 330 U U 63 ł 70 330 U 2-Chlorophenol 330 U 330 U 330 U 330 U ¥ 65 61 1.3-Dichlorobenzene U 330 U 330 U 330 330 IJ 57 * 61 ¥ 1.4-Dichlorobenzene 330 U 62 ¥ 66 330 U 330 U 330 IJ 1,2-Dichlorobenzene _____ 330 330 U 330 13 ¥ 77 Ū 330 U 68 2-Methylphenol 330 330 U 2,2'-oxybis(1-Chloropropane) 330 U 61 ¥ 67 IJ 330 Ħ 79 330 U 330 U 330 Ħ 4-Methylphenol 330 IJ 68 330 U 욯. 74 ¥ 330 IJ 330 U 330 IJ 66 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine 330 IJ 330 IJ Hexachloroethane____ 330 IJ 60 왐 62 330 U ¥ 72 330 U 330 IJ 330 U IJ 64 Nitrobenzene _____ 330 ¥ 330 U 330 Ħ ¥ 88 330 U 330 U 75 Isophorone 330 U 330 U 68 ¥ 79 330 U 330 U 2-Nitrophenol 330 U 330 IJ 73 330 U 2,4-Dimethylphenol 330 U 65 Ū ¥ 78 330 U 330 U 330 bis(2-Chloroethoxy)methane 330 U 67 330 U 70 ¥ 83 330 U 330 U 330 U 2,4-Dichlorophenol 욯 72 330 U 330 U 330 U 330 U 64 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 330 330 IJ 왐 74 330 U U 330 IJ 65 Naphthalene 330 · U 330 U 왐 90 330 U 330 Ū 80 4-Chloroaniline 330 IJ £ . 75 330 U IJ 330 330 U 70 Hexachlorobutadiene 92 왐 330 U 330 U 330 U ¥ 330 U 78 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 욯 81 330 U 330 U 330 U 330 U 71 2-Methylnaphthalene 330 U U * 52 330 . U 330 330 U 51 Hexachlorocyclopentadiene ____ 330 U 80 ł 95 ¥ 330 U 330 U 330 U 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol * 97 830 U 830 U 830 U 830 U 84 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol

*= Outside of EPA CLP QC limits.

Je 9/8/05

RFW Batch Number: 0508L141	Client: TNU-HA			k Order: 1134360		age: 1b
Cust ID:	J03WJ1	J03WJ1	J03WJ1	J03WJ2	J03WJ3	J03WJ4
RFW#:	001	001 MS	001 MSD	002	003	004
2-Chloronaphthalene	330 · U	75 %	87 %	330 U	330 U	330 U
2-Nitroaniline	830 U	79 %	94 %	830 U	830 U	830 U
Dimethylphthalate	330 U	81 %	95 🐉	330 U	330 U	330 U
Acenaphthylene	330 U	78 %	91 😵	330 U	330 U	330 U
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	330 U	85 %	102 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
3-Nitroaniline	830 U	82 %	96 %	830 U	830 U	830 t
Acenaphthene	330 U	77 %	91 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
2,4-Dinitrophenol	830 U	73 %	79 %	830 U-	830 U	830 t
-Nitrophenol	830 U	79 %	95 %	830 U	830 U	830 T
Dibenzofuran	330 U	79 %	94 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	330 U	87 %	104 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
		81 %	96 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
Diethylphthalate	330 U	77 %	92 %	330 U	330 U	330 1
Pluorene	330 U	80 %	94 😵	330 U	330 U	330 1
-Nitroaniline	830 U	66 %	75 %	830 U	830 U	830 1
1,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	830 U	, 85 ₺	105 %	830 U	830 U	830 1
V-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)	330 U	63 %	77 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
-Bromophenyl-phenylether	330 U	68 %	85 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
lexachlorobenzene	330 U	80 %	100 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
Pentachlorophenol	830 บ	87 %	113 %	830 U	830 U	830 t
Phenanthrene	330 U	80 %	98 %	340	37 J	330 t
Anthracene	330 U	79 %	98 %	47 J	330 U	330 t
Carbazole	330 U	70 %	83 %	330 U	330 U	330 (
Di-n-butylphthalate	330 U	80 %	99 %	C60 68 9111 B	660 56 JB U	380 E
Fluoranthene		84 %	104 %	690 ⁷⁷⁷⁹	140 J	330 t
Pyrene		78 %	95 %	510	120 J	330 t
Butylbenzylphthalate	330 U	83 %	102 %	28 J	330 U	330 t
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	330 U	82 %	96 %	330 U	330 U	330 t
Benzo(a)anthracene	18 J	78 %	96 %	290 J	84 J	330 (
Chrysene	22 _. J	76 %	94 %	340	100 J	330 t
ois(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate	(6065 JB U	79 %	100 %	660 146 JBU	660 139/HOBU	66017000
Di-n-octyl phthalate	(665 JB U	77 %	100 %	330 U	330 11	330 U
Benzo(b) fluoranthene	330 11	71 %	90 %	220(-40 220 JD-U	660 00 1 0 0 0 0	330 T
Benzo(k) fluoranthene	្រុក 22 4 <u>មវិធ</u> ្វា	83 %		the same of the same	640 66 1000	330 T
Benzo(a) pyrene	330 U	79 %	97 %	180 78 J	63 J	330 T
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	330 U	89 %	101 %	110 66-110 1100	600 41 41 D	330 t
Dibenz (a, h) anthracene	330 U	89 %	103 %	61 J	25 J	330 C
Senzo(g,h,i)perylene	330 U	85 %	96 %	(60 110 Mg JB U	CLU 47 TBU	330 T

re 9/1/05

Report Date: 08/18/05 08:03

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

Semivolatiles by GC/MS, HSL List

RFW Batch Number: 0508L141 Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 2a Cust ID: SBLKNM SBLKNM BS Sample RFW#: 05LE0679-MB1 05LE0679-MB1 Information Matrix: SOIL SOIL D.F.: 1.00 1.00 Units: ug/Kg ug/Kg Nitrobenzene-d5 60 Ł Surrogate 2-Fluorobiphenvl 65 ¥ 87 Recovery Terphenyl-d14 114 왐 90 Phenol-d5 65 2 81 2-Fluorophenol 61 ¥ 80 2,4,6-Tribromophenol 80 Ł 100 330 bis(2-Chloroethyl)ether 330 U 76 2-Chlorophenol 330 U 74 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 330 U 74 ¥ 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 330 U 72 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 330 U 76 Ł 2-Methylphenol 330 U 73 * 2,2'-oxybis(1-Chloropropane) 330 II 4-Methylphenol 330 U 70 N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine 330 U 73 Hexachloroethane _____ 330 U 72 Nitrobenzene 330 U 79 ¥. Isophorone 330 U 82 2-Nitrophenol 330 U 2,4-Dimethylphenol 330 U bis (2-Chloroethoxy) methane 330 U 2,4-Dichlorophenol 330 U 76 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene 330 U Naphthalene 330 U 4-Chloroaniline 330 U Hexachlorobutadiene 330 U 4-Chloro-3-methylphenol 330 U 2-Methylnaphthalene 330 U Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 330 U 33 2,4,6-Trichlorophenol 330 U 84 ¥ 2,4,5-Trichlorophenol 830 U 85 ¥

*= Outside of EPA CLP QC limits.

DENNARRED

RFW#: 05LE0679-MB1 05LE0679-MB1

•	RFW#:	05LE0679-1	B1	05LE0679-	MB1		
2-Chloronaphthalene		330	U	84			
2-Nitroaniline		830	U	89	*		
Dimethylphthalate		330	U	87	*		
Acenaphthylene		330	U	84	*		
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		330	U	92	*		
3-Nitroaniline		830	U	91	*		
Acenaphthene		330	U	84	*		
2,4-Dinitrophenol		830	U	70	¥		
4-Nitrophenol		830	U	90	*	•	
Dibenzofuran		330	U	86	*		
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		330	U	94	*		
Diethylphthalate		330	U	87	*		
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether_		330	U	82	*		
Fluorene		330	บ	86	*		
4-Nitroaniline		8.30	U	75	왐		
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol_		830	U	102	¥		
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine (1)_		330	U	72	¥		
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether		330	U	77	¥		
Hexachlorobenzene		330	U	90	ક્ષ		
Pentachlorophenol		_ 830	U	99	¥		
Pilenancintene		330	U	89	*		
Anthracene		_ 330	U	91	*		
Carbazole		330	U	71	뫙		
Di-n-butylphthalate		71	J	87	ક		
Fluoranthene	<u> </u>		U	. 97	¥		
Pyrene		330	U	82	*		
Butylbenzylphthalate 3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine		330	U	90	¥		
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine		330	U	102	*		
Benzo(a)anthracene		. 330	U	90	*		
Chrysene			U	85	¥	4	
<pre>bis(2-Ethylhexyl)phthalate</pre>			J	85	ક્ષ		
Di-n-octyl phthalate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	330	U	88	*		
Benzo(b)fluoranthene		. 24	J	96	*		
Benzo(k)fluoranthene		31	J	81	*		
Benzo(a)pyrene		330	U		8		
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		. 17	J	101	*		
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene		330	Ū	104	*		
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene		. 27	J	99	¥		

^{(1) -} Cannot be separated from Diphenylamine. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC limits.

Appendix 4

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002

LVL #: 0508L141

SDG/SAF#H332//B04-002

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00 Date Received: 08-12-2005

SEMIVOLATILE

Four (4) soil samples were collected on 08-09-2005.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW 846 method 3540C on 08-15-2005 and analyzed according to criteria set forth in Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW 846 Method 8270C for TCL Semivolatile target compounds on 08-16-2005.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 2. Samples were extracted and analyzed within required holding time.
- 3. Non-target compounds were detected in the samples.
- 4. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 5. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. All blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 7. The method blank contained the common laboratory contaminants Di-n-butylphthalate and Bis (2-Ethylhexyl) phthalate at levels less than the CRQL. The method blank also contained the target compounds Benzo (b) fluoranthene, Benzo (k) fluoranthene, Benzo (a) pyrene, Indeno (1,2,3-cd) pyrene and Benzo (g, h, i) perylene at levels less than the CRQL.
- 8. Internal standard area and retention time criteria were met.
- 9. Manual integrations are performed according to SOP QA-125 to produce quality data with the utmost integrity. All manual integrations are required to be technically valid and properly documented. Appropriate technical flags are defined in the Glossary ("Technical Flags For Manual Integration").
- 10. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 11. I certify, that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data, contained in this hard-copy data package, has been authorized, by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

Date

som\gorup\data\bna\mi-hanford\0508-141.doc
The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of 1 7 pages.

	Bechtel Hanfor	d Inc.	CI	CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS R			REQUE	ST		B04-002-0	44 Page 1	of T		
	Collector D Bowersw/C Martinez/J Kies		Compa	ny Contact g Bowers	Telephor 531-01	ie No.			Proiect Coo KESSNER, J	rdinator	Price Co	ode Many	y Data T	urnaround
	Project Designation 100 BC Burial Grounds - Soil			ing Location 233 @ 100 BC					SAF No. 304-002		Air Qu	ality	14	day
	Ice Chest No. FRC-	79-062		ogbook No. 173-5		COA R60233200	00		Method of S Fed Ex	hipment			·	
	Shipped To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIC		Offsite	Property No.	o5 63	48			Bill of Ladi	ng/Air Bil	l No.	SEE i	عجد	,
	POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZAI		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Preservation	None	Cool 4C	Coal 4C	Cool 40	:					
	·			Type of Container	aG	aG	aG	aG						
	Special Handling and/or S			No. of Container(s)	1	1	l	1						
	Cool 4º			Volume	250mL	120mL	250mL	250ml	-					
		Sample anal	.YSIS		See item (1) in Special Instructions.	PCB1 - 8082	Semi-VOA - 8270A (TCL)	TPH (Total 418.1						
														, Sa.,
	Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time										
<u> </u>	J03WJ1	SOIL	8-9-0	5 1040	X	λ	X	X					£	
00	J03WJ2	SOIL		1049	'X	X	X	X				<u> </u>	w	
	J03WJ3	SOIL		1012	χ	X	X_	X					141	- 3
19	J03WJ4	SOIL	V	1037	X		1	<u> </u>	·				¥	
<u> </u>	CHAIN OF POSSESSIO	DN	Sign/Prin	t Names	<u> </u>	SPE	CIAL INSTR	RICTIO	NS (1) it	TR 8-	. 9 - 0 9			Matrix *
	Relinquished By/Removed From Bounes Relinquished By/Removed From 3723 P 2 B 3 Relinquished By/Removed From B	Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time	Received By/Sto	red in 1728 8 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	late/Time							Agrium, Beryllium M., Manganese, N }; Mercury - 747	Date/Time	S=Soil SE=Scaltures SO=Soild SI=Sindge W = Water O=Oil A=Air DS=Drunt Solids DL=Drunt Jiqui T=Tissue Wi=Whe L=Lingld V=Vegetation X=Other
	FINAL SAMPLE Disposal M	ethod		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		··	Dispo	osed By					Date/Time	

Appendix 5

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

VALIDATION LEVEL:	Α	В	(c)	D	E
PROJECT: /O	0BC 60	0-233	DATA PACKAG	E: H332	1
VALIDATOR:	TLI	LAB: LL	$\mathcal{L}_{}$	DATE: $9/3$:/05
			SDG:	H3251	!
		ANALYSES	PERFORMED		
SW-846 8260		SW-846 8260 (TCLP)	W-846 8270		SW-846 8270 (TCLP)
CANDI ECALAT	DIV			<u> </u>	
SAMPLES/MAT		- · · · · ·	T (1+	3 32:	
$\mathcal{J}o_3\omega$	31 30	3 m15	70301	3 3036	714
Technical verificat		present?	CASE NARRATIV	VE.	Yes 100 N//
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2. INSTRU	MENT TUNING	AND CALIBRAT	ION (Levels D and	E)	
GC/MS tuning/per	formance check acc	ceptable?		.,	Yes No 1
Initial calibrations	acceptable?	************		•••••	Yes No N/.
Continuing calibra	tions acceptable?				Yes No / N//
					1
-					3
					Yes No N/
Comments:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)	/ 1
Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (V/A)
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	
Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes (N) N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (1/A)
Comments: di-h-buty/phthh - 2+3 Uatrac	FB-rag
benzo(b) flumh - 2+3	
benzo (K) Struste - 1423	
deno (1223-00) pyra - 2+3	
Moneo(g,h,i) penylon - 2+3	
bis (2-edly hear) photo - all	
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
Surrogates/system monitoring compounds analyzed?	
Surrogate/system monitoring compound recoveries acceptable?	
Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E)	
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	Yet No N/A
MS/MSD results acceptable?	
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	
MS/MSD standards? (Levels D, E)	
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	_ ~
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No (N/A)
Comments:	no PAS

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)			
MS/M	1SD samples analyzed?	(. Yes)	No	
MS/M	ISD RPD values acceptable?	<u>Y</u>	No	N/A
MS/M	ISD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No (N/A)
MS/M	ASD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	N/A
Field (duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No	MA
Field	split RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No (NV.
Trans	cription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No(N/A
Comn	nents:			
		<u> </u>		
				\wedge
6.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E)			()
	nal standards analyzed?			
	mal standard areas acceptable?			
	nal standard retention times acceptable?			
	dards traceable?			
Stand	dards expired?	Yes	No	N/A
Trans	scription/calculation errors?	Yes	No	N/A
Com	ments:			$\stackrel{\smile}{-}$
		<u> </u>		 '
				-:
7.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)	r(^	\	
	ples properly preserved?	<i>\</i> ,	No	N/A
Sam	ple holding times acceptable?		No	N/A
Com	nments:			
		<u> </u>		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

 $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac$

COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION, QUANTITATION, AND DE	TECTION ENVIRES (En
levels)	
Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
Results reported for all requested analyses?	
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	
Laboratory properly identified and coded all TIC? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 1(A)
Detection limits meet RDL?	Yes (No) N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)	
GPC cleanup performed?	Yes No N/A
GPC check performed?	
GPC check recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N/A
GPC calibration performed?	Yes No N/A
GPC calibration check performed?	Yes No N/A
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable?	
Check/calibration materials traceable?	
Check/calibration materials Expired?	
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup?	Yes No N/4
Transcription/Calculation Errors?	Yes No WA
Comments:	

Environmental Surveillance/Self Assessment Schedule FY 2006

Surveillance - Review completeness of the 300 Area Air, Water, Waste, and Tank records.

Completion date - 10/31/05. Lead - Ray Collins

Self-Assessment - Review compliance with procedures for sample shipping/transportation.

Completion date - 12/31/05. Lead - Roger Ovink

Surveillance - Review operations and records for the 100N Area Sewage Lagoon.

Completion date - 1/31/06. Lead - Ray Collins

Surveillance - Review compliance with Treatment, Storage, and Disposal requirements.

Completion date - 2/28/06. Lead - Roger Landon

Surveillance - Review adequacy of site closure documentation for Field Remediation Projects.

Completion date - 3/31/06. Lead - Darci Teel

Surveillance - Review effectiveness of implementing Institutional Controls. Completion date 4/30/06. Lead - Roger Landon

Surveillance - Review compliance with regulatory decision and primary documents.

Completion date - 6/30/06. Lead - Ray Collins

Surveillance - Review implementation of the Air Operating Permit requirements (stacks).

Complétion date - 7/31/06. Lead - Ray Collins

Laboratory Audit - Laboratory location and audit date to be determined. Lead - QA with Environmental support (Roger Ovink) Date:

9 September 2005

To:

Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

100 BC Burial Ground - Soil Full Protocol - 600-233

Subject: Wet Chemistry - Data Package No. H3321-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H3321-LLI prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

			> Validation	
J03WJ1	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ2	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ3	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH) by 418.1.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

- Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers
- Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification
- Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports
- Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation
- Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation
- Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 14 days for TPH.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were acceptable.

Method Blanks

Method Blanks

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. All blank results must fall below the contract required detection limit (CRQL) to be acceptable.

All method blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

· Accuracy

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 69% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 130% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 130% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

· Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If either activity

(concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

Completeness

Data package No. H3321-LLI was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

All analytes exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.

 The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

WET CHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: H3321	REVIEWER: TLI	Project: 600-233	PAGE_1_OF_1
Comments: No qualifiers assigned			

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Appendix 3

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: BECHTEL-HANFORD				7			
Laboratory: LLI	SDG: H3321			1			
Sample Number		J03WJ1		J03WJ2		703M73	
Remarks							
Sample Date		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05	
Wet Chemistry	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons	5	133	Ü	132	U	132	U

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 08/25/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0508L141

						REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT		UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
	*************	2222729785774527227	*****	-=			
-001	J03WJ1	♣ Solids	99.9		*	0.01	1.0
		Petroleum Hydrocarbons	133	u	MG/KG	133	1.0
-002	J03WJ2	% Solids	100		ŧ	0.01	1.0
		Petroleum Hydrocarbons	132	u	MG/KG	132	1.0
-003	J03WJ3	% Solids	99.9		ŧ	0.01	1.0
		Petroleum Hydrocarbons	132	u	MG/KG	132	1.0
-004	J03WJ4	* Solids	99.9		*	0.01	1.0

19/8/01

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



Analytical Report

Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002 H3321

LVL#: 0508L141

W.O.#: 11343-606-001-9999-00

Date Received: 08-12-05

INORGANIC NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 4 soil samples.

2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with the methods checked on the attached glossary.

LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete list of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.

- 3. Sample holding times as required by the method and/or contract were met.
- 4. The results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 5. The method blank for Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHC) was within the method criteria.
- 6. The Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) for PHC was within the laboratory control limits.
- 7. The matrix spike recovery for PHC was within the 75-125% control limits.
- 8. The replicate analysis for PHC was within the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limit.
- 9. Results for solid samples are reported on a dry weight basis.
- 10. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Laboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

njp\i08-141

My 74. 205, 8-

The results presented in this report relate to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples upon receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of the pages.

Bechtel Hanford Inc.	CHAIN OF C	CUSTODY/S	AMPLE	ANALY	SIS	REQUEST		B04	1-002-044	Page 1	of <u>l</u>
Collector D Bowersw/C Martinez/J Kiesler	Company Contact Doug Bowers	Telepho 531-0				Project Coordin KESSNER, JH	ator 1	Price Code	Many	Data Tu	ruaround 🕳
Project Designation 100 BC Burial Grounds - Soil Full Protocol	Sampling Location 600-233 @ 100 BC					SAF No. B04-002		Air Quality	/ [[14 a	194
lee Chest No. EPC-99-062	Field Logbook No. EL 1173-5		COA R6023320	00		Method of Ships Fed Ex	nent				
Shinned To EBERLINE SERVICES (LIONVILLE)	Offsite Property No.	10563	48			Bill of Lading/	Lir Bill N	°. Si	e 92	٥	
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZARDS/REMARKS											
Non Rad	Preservation		Cool 4C	Cool 4C	Cool 4					<u> </u>	
Special Handling and/or Storage	Type of Contr		aG	RG	a.G						
Cool 4°C	No. of Contain		!	1	1						
Cool 4 .	Volume	250mL	120mL	250mL	250m	L					
SAMPLE ANALYSIS		See item (1) in Special Instructions.		Semi-VOA - 8270A (TCL)	TPH (Tol 418,1						
·											
Sample No. Matrix * Sar	nple Date Sample	Time						200		\$0.5	
J03WJ1 SOIL 8	-9-05 104	$C \mid X$	X_{\perp}	X	X					£	
J03WJ2 SOIL	104	V	X	X	X					w	
J03WJ3 SOIL	109	**	X	_X	X				<u> </u>	14	
J03WJ4 SOIL	V 103	$\frac{7}{x}$		1						y	
- A CONTROL ON CONTROL	Oi (Drink Norman				L	10 3 2	6 0		<u> </u>	<u></u>	
Relinquished By/Removed From Dog 9 Date/Time, Rece Dog Bowers Bours 8-9-09/1530 R	Sign/Print Names ived By/Stored In 7729		1			I List) (Aluminum, n, Cobalt, Copper, I Silicon, Silver, Sodi	2		, Beryllium, Boro	m, kanum,	Matrix * S=Soft SE=Sertment SO=Solid
Relinquished By/Removed Firm Date/Time Rece	ived By/Stored In	Date/Time	Sa) Nick	el, Potassium ; S Ø	elenium,	Silicon, Silver, Sodi	m , Vanadi	um, Zinc}; Mer	cury - 7470 - (C	(V)	St-Shadge W = Water O=Oli A=Air DS=Drum Solids
Retinguished By Removed From Date/Time Rege	Ved By/Stored in	Date/Time	930								OL=Orma Liquids T=Tissue Wi=Wipe L=Liquid V=Vegetation
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Rece	ived By/Stord in	Date/Time			٠						V=Vegetation X=Other
Relinquished By/Removed From Date/Time Rece	ived By/Stored In	Date/Time									
LABORATORY Received By SECTION		τ	itle						a	ate/Time	1 —
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Method DISPOSITION				Dispo	sed By				r	Date/Time	

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

的"我们"。 1. 1. 17 被基础的发展主义的 (4.3 %)。

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	0	D	E
PROJECT: /0	0BC 60	0-233	DATA PACKAG	E: H332	/
VALIDATOR:	TUI	LAB: 24	$\overline{\mathcal{L}}$	DATE: $9/3$	/or
		_	SDG:	H3321	
		ANALYSES I	PERFORMED		
Anions/IC	тос	тох 🤇	TPH-418.1	Oil and Grease	Alkalinity
Ammonia	BOD/COD	Chloride	Chromium-VI	pН	NO ₃ /NO ₂
Sulfate	TDS	TKN	Phosphate		
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX				
Jo3h	JT1 J0	3WJZ J	Ctwoo		
<u> </u>		. <u> </u>			
				St	Π,
Technical verificat	-	•		/E	Yes (No) /A
Initial calibrations Initial calibrations ICV and CCV check ICV and CCV check	performed on all insacceptable?cks performed on all	l instruments?			Yes No N/AYes No N/AYes No N/AYes No N/A
					Yes No N/A
•					Yes No N/A
					Yes No NA
Comments:					

BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
CB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Le	vels D, E) Yes No 1444
CB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No WA
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes 🔞 N/A
Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Comments:	no FB
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
Spike samples analyzed?	
Spike recoveries acceptable?	
Sike standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A
Spike standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No 🕡
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes N/A
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Yes No (N/)
Comments:	10.04

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		١.	
Duplio	cate RPD values acceptable?	(. <u>Ye</u> s,	No	N/A
Duplio	cate results acceptable?	(Ye)	No	N/A
MS/M	ISD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	N/A
MS/M	1SD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	(N/A
Field o	duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No	
	split RPD values acceptable?			/ √ \!
Transo	cription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	(N/)
Comm	nents:			
	- Lander of the second of the	<u> </u>		
	·			<u>.</u>
		•		
6.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)	\wedge		
	les properly preserved?		No	N/A
Sampl	le holding times acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Comm	nents:			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

7.	RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION I	AMITS (all levels)			
Result	s reported for all requested analyses?		•••••	(Yes No	N/A
Result	s supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	***************************************		Yes No (N/A
Sampl	es properly prepared? (Levels D, E)		,	Yes No.	(VA
Detect	ion limits meet RDL?			No No	N/A
Transc	ription/calculation errors?/(Levels D, E)			Yes No	Ny.
Comm	ents:allow				
					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
-				·	

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 08/25/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321

LVL LOT #: 0508L141

WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT		UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
		************					*****
BLANK10	05LHC052-MB1	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	133	u	MG/KG	133	1.0

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 08/25/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321

LVL LOT #: 0508L141

WORK ORDER:	11343-606-001-9999-00
-------------	-----------------------

			31 21440	THITITIAN	OF TRUD		DILICITON
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	RESULT	AMOUNT	*RECOV	FACTOR (SPK)
******	***********		E747446	7-4522			
-001	J03WJ1	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	522	34.0	559	87.2	1.0
BLANK10	05LHC052-MB1	Petroleum Hydrocarbons	525	133 u	560	93.8	1.0

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 08/25/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321

LVL LOT #: 0508L141

MODY	Opppp.	11343-606-001-9999-00
WUKK	ORDER:	II343-000-00I-3333-00

			14141	Inn						THOTTOM	
SAMP	LE SITE ID	ANALYTÉ	RESU	LT	REPLIC#	TE	RPD		F	PACTOR (REP	?)
					10070				-	******	
-001	REP JO3WJ1	Petroleum	Hydrocarbons 133	u	133	п	NC	,		1.0	

Date: 9 September 2005

To: Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From: TechLaw, Inc.

Project: 100 BC Burial Grounds - Soil Full Protocol - Waste Site 600-233

Subject: PCB - Data Package No. H3321-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H3321-LLI prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Samole Dr.	18 Grann of Employees	Wakiwa na Baran	Válidetián k	edings (Date) strains
J03WJ1	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ2	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
103M13	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ4	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1

^{1 -} PCBs by 8082.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 5 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

· Holding Times

Sample data were assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be extracted within 14 days of the date of sample collection and analyzed within 40 days from the date of extraction.

If holding times are exceeded by less than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all

associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were acceptable.

Method Blank

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation or analysis. At least one method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. Method blanks should not contain target compounds at a concentration greater than required quantitation limit (RQL). If target compounds are present, sample results less than five times the blank concentration are qualified as undetected and flagged "U". If the sample result is less than five times the blank concentration and less than RQL, the result is qualified as undetected and elevated to the RQL.

All method blank results were acceptable.

Field Blanks

One field blank (JO3WJ4) was submitted for analysis. No analytes were detected in the field blank.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike & Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. If spike recoveries are outside control limits, detected sample results less than five times the spike concentration are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected sample results with spike recoveries outside control limits are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ". Sample results greater than five times the spike concentration require no qualification.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Surrogate Recovery

The analysis of surrogate compounds provides a measure of performance for individual samples. Matrix-specific surrogate compound recovery control windows have been established by the laboratory. When a surrogate compound recovery is outside the control window, all positively identified target compounds associated with the unacceptable surrogate recoveries are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries less than the lower control limit are qualified as having an estimated detection limit and flagged "UJ". Non-detected compounds with surrogate recoveries above the upper control limit require no qualification.

All surrogate results were acceptable.

Precision

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Samples

Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate results provide matrix-specific information on the precision of the method for specific target compound classes. Precision is expressed as the relative percent difference (RPD) between the recoveries of duplicate matrix spike analyses performed on a sample. For soil samples, results must be within RPD limits of plus/minus 30%. If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is less than five times the spike concentration, all associated detected sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If RPD values are out of specification and the sample concentration is greater than five times the spike concentration, no qualification is required.

All precision results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate Samples

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the Remaining Waste Sites RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All analytes met the RQL.

· Completeness

Data Package No. H3321-LLI was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Appendix 1

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with the procedures herein are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.

 The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Summary of Data Qualification

PCB DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: H3321	REVIEWER: TLI	Project: 600-233	PAGE 1 OF 1
Comments: No qualifiers assigned			

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

(
	٦
	\supset
(
ŀ	7
Č	

Project: BECHTEL									
Laboratory: LLI	SDG:	H3321]					
Sample Number		J03WJ1		J03WJ2		J03WJ3		J03WJ4	
Remarks							E. Blank		
Sample Date	8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05		
Extraction Date	8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05		8/15/05		
Analysis Date		8/17/05		8/17/05		8/17/05		8/17/05	
PCB/Pesticide	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	Q
Aroclor-1016	20	13	U	13	U	13	J	13	U
Aroclor-1221	20	13	U	13	Ū	13	U	13	Ū
Aroclor-1232	20	13	U	13	U	13	Ū	13	U
Aroclor-1242	20	13	U	13	U	13	Ū	13	U
Aroclor-1248	20	13	Ū	13	U	13	U	13	Ū
Aroclor-1254	20	13	U	13	U	13	Ū	13	U
Aroclor-1260	20	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U

Lionville Laboratory, Inc.

PCBs by GC

Report Date: 08/18/05 09:21 Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002 Work Order: 11343606001 Page: 1 RFW Batch Number: 0508L141

	Cust ID:	J03WJ	L	J03WJ1	L .	J03WJ1	L	J03WJ2	!	J03WJ3	1	PBLKRS	
Sample	RFW#:	001	L	001 MS	5	001 MSI)	002	:	003	}	05LE0682-M	MB1
Information	Matrix:	SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL		SOIL	
	D.F.;	1.0	00	1.0	0	1.0	00	1.0	0	1.0	0	1.0	00
•	Units:	UG/I	(G	UG/I	(G	UG/F	(G	UG/F	KG	UG/K	G	UG/K	KG
Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	102	*	110	8	109	४	103	ક	105	*	95	*
	Decachlorobiphenyl	114	*	114	ક	115	¥	119	8	113	¥	100	ક
	*************	.======	=f1==		=fl=:		=f1==	========	=f1==	========	=f1	======================================	==fl
Aroclor-1016	<u>; </u>	13	Ū	108	*	102	ક	13	U	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1221		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1232		13	U	13	Ü	13	U	13	a	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1242		13	Ŭ	13	U	13	U	13	Ū	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1248		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	Ū	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1254		13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U	13	U
Aroclor-1260		13	U	116	*	106	*	13	U	13	U	13	U

Cust ID: PBLKRS BS

Sample Information RFW#: 05LE0682-MB1

Matrix: SOIL

> D.F.: 1.00 Units: UG/KG

Surrogate:	Tetrachloro-m-xylene	94	*	
	Decachlorobiphenyl	103	*	
#==== =			==fl=	
Aroclor-101	6	93	¥	
Aroclor-122	1	13	Ū	
Aroclor-123	2	13	U	
Aroclor-124	2	13	U	Regizuli
Aroclor-124	8	13	U	
Aroclor-125	4	13	Ū	//
Aroclor-126	0	105	ł	,

U= Analyzed, not detected. J= Present below detection limit. B= Present in blank. NR= Not reported. NS= Not spiked. %= Percent recovery. D= Diluted out. I= Interference. NA= Not Applicable. *= Outside of EPA CLP QC

JE 9/8/05

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



Case Narrative

Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002

LVL#: 0508L141

SDG/SAF#H332\/B04-002

W.O. #: 11343-606-001-9999-00 Date Received: 08-12-2005

PCB

Three (3) soil samples were collected on 08-09-2005.

The samples and their associated QC samples were extracted on 08-15-2005 and analyzed according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846, 3rd Edition procedures on 08-17-2005. The extraction procedure was based on method 3540C and the extracts were analyzed based on method 8082.

The following is a summary of the QC results accompanying the sample results and a description of any problems encountered during their analyses:

- 1. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- All required holding times for extraction and analysis have been met.
- 3. The samples and their associated QC samples received Copper-Sulfur and Sulfuric Acid cleanups according to Lionville Laboratory SOPs based on SW846 methods 3660A and 3665A respectively.
- 4. The method blank was below the reporting limits for all target compounds.
- 5. All surrogate recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 6. The blank spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 7. All matrix spike recoveries were within acceptance criteria.
- 8. The initial calibrations associated with this data set were within acceptance criteria.
- 9. The continuing calibration standards analyzed prior to sample extracts were within acceptance criteria.
- 10. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.
- 11. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.

Iain Daniels

Laberatory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

kim\r:\group\data\pest\tnu hanford\0508-141.pcb

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of pages.

000013

Bechtel Hanford Inc. CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMP						ANALY	SIS	REQU	JEST	B04-002-044		Page <u>I</u>	of <u>I</u>
Collector D Bowersw/C Martinez/J Kie		Compa	any Contact g Bowers	Telephor 531-0	ne No.				Coordinator	Price Code	Many	Data Tu	unaround
Project Designation 100 BC Burial Grounds - Soi	I Full Protocol		ing Location -233 @ 100 BC					SAF No. B04-002		Air Qualit	ty I.I	14 0	195
Ice Cliest No.	99-062		Logbook No. 1173-5	<u> </u>	COA R60233200	00	· 	Method o	of Shipment	·			
Shinned To EBERLINE SERVICES (LI	ONVILLE	Offsite	Property No.	0563	48	_		Bill of L	ading/Air Bil	1 No. 5	EE 03	spc.	į
POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA			}					_	1				
Non Rad			Preservation	None	Cool 4C	Cool 4C	Cool				,		<u> </u>
Special Handling and/or S	Storage		Type of Container	aG	aG	₽G	aG		·			<u> </u>	ļ
l .			No. of Container(s)	1	1	1	1					<u> </u>	
Cool 4º	<u>_</u>		Volume	250mL	120mL	250mL	250n	il					
·	SAMPLE ANALY	SIS	<u> </u>	See item (1) in Special Instructions.	PCBs - 8082	Semi-VOA - B270A (TCL)	TPH (To						
Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time										
J03WJ1	SOIL	8-9-0	5 1040	X	χ	X	X					E.	799 318
J03WJ2	SOIL		1049	'X	X	X	X					w	
J03WJ3	SOIL		1012	χ	<u> </u>	X	X					w	_
J03WJ4	SOIL		1037	X		1	ļ					y	
					<u> </u>	<u></u>	<u>l </u>				<u>l</u>	<u> </u>	
Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From LABORATORY Received I	Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time Date/Time	Received By/Sto	ared in Day 3729 8 - 9 A 3 729 8 - 9 A 3 729 8 - 9 A 4 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	Pate/Time Pate/Time Pate/Time Pate/Time	70 (1) I	CP Metals 60	10 (Clier Chromiu	it List) (Alu m, Cobalt, i	minum, Antimor Copper, Isos, Le ver, Sodium, Va	- 7 - 4 7 ny, Arsenic, Barriu ad, Magneciuli, N nadium, Zinc}; M	ercury - 7470 - (ron, odenum, CV)	Matrix * S=Soll SE=Scollment SO>Solid SI=Stadge W= Water O=OII A=Alr DS=Drum Solids DL=Drum Edund T=Tissue WI=Why L=Liquid V=Vegetation X=Other
SECTION												15 . 60"	 -
FINAL SAMPLE Disposal N DISPOSITION	Acthod					Dispo	osed By					Date/Time	

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

LEVEL:	Α	В	(0)	D	E
PROJECT: 10	OBC 600	o - 233	DATA PACKAG	E: 4332	/
VALIDATOR:	TIT	LAB: LL	ナ	DATE: 9/	7/05
			SDG:	3221	1/
		ANALYSES I	PERFORMED		
SW-846 8081	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)	W-846 8082	SW-846 8081 (TCLP)		
SAMPLES/MAT	RIX	·			
:WE0t	JI Ja	JWIZ	J03 WJ	cot E	774
					Sol
Technical verification			•	E	Yes No N/A
rechnical verification	on documentation p	resent?	•		Yes N/A
Technical verification Comments: L. INSTRUM	on documentation p	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	
Comments: INSTRUM	IENT PERFORM	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	Yes No N/A
Comments: INSTRUM nitial calibrations a	IENT PERFORM cceptable?	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	Yes No N/A
Comments: INSTRUM Initial calibrations a Continuing calibrati	IENT PERFORM cceptable?	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A
Comments: INSTRUM Initial calibrations a Continuing calibrati Standards traceable Standards expired?	IENT PERFORM cceptable?	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A Yes No N/A
2. INSTRUM Initial calibrations a Continuing calibrati Standards traceable? Standards expired?.	IENT PERFORM cceptable?	ANCE AND CALI	BRATIONS (Leve	els D and E)	Yes No N/A

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
Calibration blanks analyzed? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N
Calibration blank results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	
Field/trip blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	
Field/trip blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Yes No N/
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/
Comments:	
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
Surrogates analyzed?	
Surrogate recoveries acceptable?	
Surrogates traceable? (Levels D, E)	
Surrogates expired? (Levels D, E)	\ ~
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	
MS/MSD results acceptable?	\/
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	() 2
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	<i>'</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	\ / / / /
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Y =
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	T =
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	- L
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	
Comments:	, i

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		•	
Dupl	licate RPD values acceptable?	(Yes	$)_{No}$	N/A
Dupl	licate results acceptable?	(Ye)	No	N/A
MS/I	MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	NA
MS/I	MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	WA
Field	d duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No	(N)
Field	d split RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No	NA.
Tran	scription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	(N/A
Com	ments:			
				
			-	
				·
6.	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE (Levels D and E)			1
Chro	omatographic performance acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Posit	tive results resolved acceptably?	Yes	No	N/A
Com	ments:			
				
		·		
7.	HOLDING TIMES (all levels)	Orn.		
Samp	ples properly preserved?	/Yes) No	N/A
Samp	ple holding times acceptable?		/ No	N/A
Com	ments:			
	55550			

化分子 化甲醛甲醛酚 化异丁基苯甲

8. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION, QUANTITATION, AND DE	ETECTION LIMITS (all
levels)	
Compound identification acceptable? (Levels D, E)	
Compound quantitation acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
Results reported for all requested analyses?	(Yes) No N/A
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	
Detection limits meet RDL?	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (N/A)
Comments:	
9. SAMPLE CLEANUP (Levels D and E)	
Fluoricil ® (or other absorbent) cleanup performed?	Yes No (N/A
Lot check performed?	
Check recoveries acceptable?	•
GPC cleanup performed?	
GPC check performed?	
GPC check recoveries acceptable?	
GPC calibration performed?	
GPC calibration check performed?	
GPC calibration check retention times acceptable?	
Check/calibration materials traceable?	
Check/calibration materials Expired?	Yes No N/A
Analytical batch QC given similar cleanup?	
Transcription/Calculation Errors?	
Comments:	-
	<u> </u>

Date: 9 September 2005

To: Bechtel Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From: TechLaw, Inc.

Project: 100 BC Burial Grounds - Soil Full Protocol - Waste Site 600-233

Subject: Inorganics - Data Package No. H3321-LLI

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. H3321-LLI prepared by Lionville Laboratory Inc. (LLI). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

Campie Inc.	nasaiguile abklicae	Property of the services	. Walielawonie	CONTROL CONTROL
J03WJ1	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ2	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ3	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1
J03WJ4	8/9/05	Soil	С	See note 1

^{1 -} ICP metals (6010B) and mercury (7471A).

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Bechtel Hanford Incorporated (BHI) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 28 days for mercury and 6 months for ICP metals.

All holding times were acceptable.

· Preparation (Method) Blanks

Preparation Blanks

At least one preparation blank, consisting of deionized distilled water processed through each sample preparation and analysis procedure, must be prepared and analyzed with every sample delivery group. In the case of positive blank results, samples with digestate concentrations less than five times the preparation blank value have had their associated values qualified as non-detected and flagged "U". Samples with concentrations of greater than five times the highest blank concentration do not require qualification.

In the case of negative blank results, if the absolute value exceeds the contract required detection limit (CRDL), all nondetects are rejected and flagged "UR" and all detects that are less than ten times the absolute value of the associated preparation blank result are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the absolute value of the negative preparation blank is greater than the instrument detection limit (IDL) and less than or equal to the CRDL, all nondetects are qualified as estimates and flagged "UJ" and all detects less than ten times the absolute value of the blank are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". If the sample results are greater than ten times the absolute value of the preparation blank, no qualification is necessary.

All preparation blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

One field blank (J03WJ4) was submitted for analysis. Barium, chromium, copper, manganese, lead and zinc were detected in the equipment blank. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data . The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 69% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 130% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 130% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

All accuracy results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

No field duplicates were submitted for analysis.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the remaining waste sites RQLs to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All silver results and the selenium result in samples J03WJ2, J03WJ3 and J03WJ4 exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

· Completeness

Data package No. H3321-LLI was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

All silver results and the selenium result in samples J03WJ2, J03WJ3 and J03WJ4 exceeded the RQL. Under the BHI statement of work, no qualification is required.

REFERENCES

BHI, MRB-SBB-A23665, *Validation Statement of Work*, Bechtel Hanford Incorporated, September 5, 1997.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Appendix 1 Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- J Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.

 The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Appendix 2
Summary of Data Qualification

METALS DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

SDG: H3321	REVIEWER:	Project:	600-233	PAGE 1_OF_1
Comments: No qualifiers assigned				

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: BECH	FEI -HA	NEORD		1					
Laboratory: LL									
Sample Number		J03WJ1		J03WJ2		J03WJ3		J03WJ4	
Remarks								E. Blank	
Sample Date		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05		8/9/05	
Inorganics	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q	Result	ø	Result	Q
Silver	0.2	0.50	Ü	0.50	υ	0.49	ט	0.50	Ü
Arsenic	10	2.5	U	2.5	U	2.5		2.5	_
Boron		1.5		1.3	U	1.3	U	1.3	U
Barium	2	50.2		51.2		46.5		5.4	1
Beryllium		0.41		0.35		0.27		0.06	U_
Cadmium	0.2	0.28		0.23		0.25	<u> </u>	0.17	
Cobalt		6.3		5.3		4.9	<u> </u>	0.50	
Chromium	1	7.7		7.9		6.1	L	0.77	
Copper		11.5		10.3		9.8		1.4	
Mercury	0.2	0.02	U	0.01	U	0.02	_	0.01	
Manganese		270		249		223		17.5	
Molybdenum		0.88	U	0.89		0.87	-	0.89	
Nickel		8.3		7.7		7.4	-	1.2	
Lead	5	4.6		4.3		4.9		1.7	
Selenium	1	3.0	L	2.7		2.7	-	2.7	
Vanadium		37.0		34.5		25.3	+	0.33	_
Zinc	1	33.8		30.7		28.5		3.2	1

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD 804-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0508L141

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
****	*************		*****	*****	尼耳其自由 中中立	*******
-001	J03WJ1	Silver, Total	0.50 Ա	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
		Arsenic, Total	2.5 u	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Boron, Total	1.5	MG/KG	1.3	€.0
		Barium, Total	50.2	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.41	MG/KG	0.06	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.28	MG/KG	0.17	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.3	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
		Chromium, Total	7.7	MG/KG	0.39	6.0
		Copper, Total	11.5	MG/KG	0.44	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.02 น	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Manganese, Total	270	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.88 u	MG/KG	0.88	6.0
		Nickel, Total	8.3	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.6	MG/KG	1.4	6.0
		Selenium, Total	3.0	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	37.0	MG/KG	0.33	6.0
		Zinc, Total	33.8	MG/KG	0.28	6.0
-002	J03WJ2	Silver, Total	0.50 u	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
	*	Arsenic, Total	2.5 u	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Boron, Total	1.3 u	MG/KG	1.3	6.0
		Barium, Total	51.2	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
•		Beryllium, Total	0.35	MG/KG	0.06	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.23	MG/KG	0.17	6.0
	•	Cobalt, Total	5.3	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
		Chromium, Total	7.9	MG/KG	0.39	6.0
		Copper, Total	10.3	MG/KG	0.44	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.01 u	MG/KG	0.01	1.0
	•	Manganese, Total	249	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.89 u	MG/KG	0.89	€.0
		Nickel, Total	7.7	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.3	MG/KG	1.4	€.0
		Selenium, Total	2.7 u	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	34.5	MG/KG	0.33	6.0
		Zinc, Total	30.7	MG/KG	0.28	6.0

p 9/8/05

INORGANICS DATA SUMMARY REPORT 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD 804-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0508L141

					REPORTING	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	result	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR
*******	***************	写真有条型医型型型图式内计划符合管理各位电 区	======		E35#####	
-003	J03WJ3	Silver, Total	0.49 น	MG/KG	0.49	6.0
		Arsenic, Total	2.5 u	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Boron, Total	113 u	MG/KG	1.3	6.0
		Barium, Total	46.5	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.27	MG/KG	0.05	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.25	MG/KG	0.16	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	4.9	MG/KG	0.49	6.0
		Chromium, Total	6.1	MG/KG	0.38	6.0
		Copper, Total	9 . B	MG/KG	0.44	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.02 u	MG/KG	0.02	1.0
		Manganese, Total	223	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.87 u	MG/KG	0.87	6.0
	4	Nickel, Total	7.4	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.9	MG/KG	1.4	6.0
		Selenium, Total	2.7 u	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	25.3	MG/KG	0.33	6.0
		Zinc, Total	28.5	MG/KG	0.27	6.0
-004	J03WJ4	Silver, Total	. 0.50 u	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
		Arsenic, Total	2.5 u	MG/KG	2.5	6.0
		Boron, Total	1.3 u	MG/KG	1.3	6.0
		Barium, Total	5.4	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Beryllium, Total	0.06 u	MG/KG	0.06	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.17 u	MG/KG	0.17	6,0
		Cobalt, Total	0.50 u	MG/KG	0.50	6.0
		Chromium, Total	0.77	MG/KG	0.39	6.0
		Copper, Total	1.4	MG/KG	0.44	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.01 u	MG/KG	0.01	. 1,0
		Manganese, Total	17.5	MG/KG	0.11	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0.89 ц	MG/KG	0.89	6.0
		Nickel, Total	1.2 u	MG/KG	1.2	6.0
	•	Lead, Total	1.7	NG/KG	1.4	6.0
		Selenium, Total	2.7 u	MG/KG	2.7	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	0.33 u	MG/KG	0.33	6.0
		Zinc, Total	3.2	MG/KG	0.28	6.0

M 9/8/05

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation



Analytical Report

Client: TNU-HANFORD B04-002

LVL#: 0508L141

SDG/SAF#: H3311/B04-002

W.O.#: 11343-606-001-9999-00

Date Received: 08-12-05

METALS CASE NARRATIVE

1. This narrative covers the analyses of 4 soil samples.

- 2. The samples were prepared and analyzed in accordance with methods checked on the attached glossary. The samples were analyzed with 6-fold dilutions for ICP metals due sample matrix.
- 3. All analyses were performed within the required holding times.
- 4. All results presented in this report are derived from samples that met LvLI's sample acceptance policy.
- 5. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifications (ICV/CCVs) were within the 90-110% control limits (80-120% for Mercury).
- 6. All Initial and Continuing Calibration Blanks (ICB/CCBs) were within control limits (less than the PQL).
- 7. All preparation/method blanks (MB) were within method criteria {less than the Practical Quantitation Limit (3X the IDL), or samples greater than 20X MB value}. Refer to the Inorganics Method Blank Data Summary.
- 8. All ICP Interference Check Standards were within control limits.
- 9. All laboratory control samples (LCS) were within the 80-120% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Laboratory Control Standards Report.
- 10. All matrix spike (MS) recoveries were within the 75-125% control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Accuracy Report.
- 11. The duplicate analyses for 3 analytes were outside the 20% Relative Percent Difference (RPD) control limits. Refer to the Inorganics Precision Report.

The results presented in this report relate only to the analytical testing and conditions of the samples at receipt and during storage. All pages of this report are integral parts of the analytical data. Therefore, this report should only be reproduced in its entirety of pages.

000014

- 12. For the purposes of this report, the data has been reported to the Instrument Detection Limit (IDL). Values between the IDL and the Practical Quantitation Limit (PQL) are acquired in a region of less-certain quantification.
- 13. LvLI is NELAP accredited by the state of Pennsylvania and holds over 20 additional state accreditations. For a complete listing of accrediting authorities and the corresponding analytes/methods, please contact your Project Manager.
- 14. I certify that this sample data package is in compliance with SOW requirements, both technically and for completeness, other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard-copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager or a designee, as verified by the following signature.

Iain Daniels

Taboratory Manager

Lionville Laboratory Incorporated

jjw/m08-141

8/24/08



Data Validation Supporting Documentation

<u>V</u> ALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	c	D	Е
PROJECT:	00BC 0	,00-233	DATA PACKAG	E: H33	21
VALIDATOR:	TLD	LAB:	2	DATE: 9	905
			SDG:	H3321	
		ANALYCES	PERFORMED		
SW 846/ICP	SW-846/GFAA	SW-846/Hg	SW-846 Cyanide		
SAMPLES/MATE	ИX				
J03WJ	703	wtz	JO 123	Jose	74
					Soul
					- 1
			CASE NARRATIV		\sim
Technical verification					Yes (No N/A
Comments:					
			- · 		
2. INSTRUM	IENT PERFORM	ANCE AND CAL	IBRATIONS (Lev	els D and E)	
Initial calibrations p	erformed on all ins	truments?	•••••	•	Yes No N/A
Initial calibrations a	cceptable?	1*14*1*********************************			Yes No N/A
ICP interference che	cks acceptable?	******************************	***************************************		Yes No N/A
ICV and CCV check	s performed on all	instruments?	************	***************************************	Yes No N/A
ICV and CCV check	cs acceptable?	***************************************		******************************	Yes No N/A
Standards traceable?					
Standards expired?.					
Calculation check ac					
Comments:					

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
ICB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No (V/A)
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/A
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	(Yes) No N/A
Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Ye No N/A
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
4049	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
FB - Berion Chromium Copper mangaren tent one	
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
MS/MSD samples analyzed?	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	Yes No N/A
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes (No) N/A
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	
Comments:	NO 245
	<u> </u>

5.	PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		•	
Duplic	cate RPD values acceptable?	(Yes	γlo	N/A
Duplio	cate results acceptable?	(Yes)	No	N/A
MS/M	ISD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No(<i>></i>
MS/M	1SD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	NA.
Field (duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes	Nq	NA
Field :	split RPD values acceptable?	Yes	No	(N/A)
Trans	cription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes	No	
Comn	nents:			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· ·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · ·	
		•		
6.	ICP QUALITY CONTROL (Levels D and E)		/	
ICP s	erial dilution samples analyzed?	Yes	No	N/A
ICP s	erial dilution %D values acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
ICP p	oost digestion spike required?	Yes	No	N/A
ICP p	oost digestion spike values acceptable?	Yes	No	N/A
Stand	lards traceable?	Yes	No	N/A
Stand	lards expired?	Yes	No	N/A
Trans	scription/calculation errors?	Yes	No	N/A
Comr	ments:			<u> </u>
	Anger 1814 - Salara			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Duplicate injections performed as required?	7		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	es	No	N/A
Duplicate injection %RSD values acceptable? Y	es (No	N/A
Analytical spikes performed as required? Y	es .	Nd	N/A
Analytical spike recoveries acceptable?	es /	Νb	N/A
Standards traceable?			
Standards expired?	'e s	No	N/A
MSA performed as required?	Y es	No	N/A
MSA results acceptable?	r'es	No	N/A
Transcription/calculation errors?			
Comments:		`	<u> </u>
	•		
8. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)			
Samples properly preserved?	Xes)	No	N/A
Sample holding times acceptable?	Yes		N/A
Comments:	<u>ー</u>		.
	•		
			<u>.</u>

9.	RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels			}
Results	reported for all requested analyses?		Yes	No NA
Rresults	supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)		Yes	No NA
Samples	s properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	***************************************	Yes	No N/A
Detection	on limits meet RDL?		Yes	160) N/A
Transcr	iption/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)		Yes	No (N/A
Comme				
	Il silver over			····
7	selem our			

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

INORGANICS METHOD BLANK DATA SUMMARY PAGE 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

05C0210-MB1

BLANK1

LVL LOT #: 0508L141

					REPORTING	DILUTION	
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	UNITS	LIMIT	FACTOR	
		*************	******			******	
BLANK1	05L0471-MB1	Silver, Total	0.09 น	MG/KG	0.09	1.0	
		Arsenic, Total	0.45 u	MG/KG	0.45	1.0	
		Boron, Total	0.23 u	MG/KG	0.23	1.0	
		Barium, Total	0.13	MG/KG	0.02	1.0	
		Beryllium, Total	0.01 u	MG/KG	0.01	1.0	
		Cadmium, Total	0.03	MG/KG	0.03	1.0	
		Cobalt, Total	0.09 u	MG/KG	0.09	1.0	
	•	Chromium, Total	0.11	MG/KG	0.07	1.0	
		Copper, Total	0.12	MG/KG	0.08	1.0	
		Manganese, Total	0.02	MG/KG	0.02	1.0	
		Molybdenum, Total	0.16 u	MG/KG	0.16	1.0	
		Nickel, Total	0.22 u	MG/KG	0.22	1.0	
		Lead, Total	0.34	MG/KG	0.25	1.0	
•		Selenium, Total	0.53	MG/KG	0.49	1.0	
		Vanadium, Total	0.06 u	MG/KG	0.06	1.0	
	•	Zinc, Total	0.05 u	MG/KG	0.05	1.0	

Mercury, Total

INORGANICS ACCURACY REPORT 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD 804-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0508L141

			SPIKED	INITIAL	SPIKED		DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	RESULT	TRUOMA	*RECOV	FACTOR (SPK)
******	*************	*********		======		*****	***
-001	J03WJ1	Silver, Total	4.4	0.50u	4.6	95.7	6.0
		Arsenic, Total	184	2.5 u	185	99.2	6.0
	•	Boron, Total	82.5	1.5	92.7	87.4	6.0
		Barium, Total	226	50.2	185	94.8	6.0
	,	Beryllium, Total	4.9	0.41	4.6	97.7	6.0
	•	Cadmium, Total	4.8	0.28	4.6	98.4	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	50.6	6.3	46.4	95.5	6.0
		Chromium, Total	26.0	7.7	18.5	98.9	6.0
	•	Copper, Total	32.1	11.5	23.2	88.8	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.14	0.02u	0.1	107.4	1.0
		Manganese, Total	304	270	46.4	74.6*	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	88.3	0.884	92.7	95.3	6.0
		Nickel, Total	54.8	8.3	46.4	100.2	6.0
		Lead, Total	50.2	4.6	46.4	98.3	6.0
		Selenium, Total	180	3.0	185	95.5	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	79.7	37.0	46.4	92.0	6.0
		Zinc, Total	75.4	33.6	46.4	89.7	6.0

INORGANICS PRECISION REPORT 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD B04-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00

LVL LOT #: 0508L141

			INITIAL		•	DILUTION
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	RESULT	REPLICATE	RPD	FACTOR (REP)
	************		******	*****		
-001REP	J03WJ1	Silver, Total	0.50u	0.49u	NC	6.0
		Arsenic, Total	2.5 u	3.0	. Ac 3√20	6.0
		Boron, Total	1.5	1.3 u	nd 200 lis	6.0
		Barium, Total	50.2	47.9	4.7 Mg/2010	6.0
	•	Beryllium, Total	0.41	0.39	3.6	6.0
		Cadmium, Total	0.28	0.23	15.9	6.0
		Cobalt, Total	6.3	5.4	15.4	6.0
		Chromium, Total	7.7	6.4	18.4	6.0
		Copper, Total	11.5	11.2	2.6	6.0
		Mercury, Total	0.02u	0.02u	NC	1.0
		Manganese, Total	270	250	7.5	6.0
		Molybdenum, Total	0 . 88u	0.87u	NC	6.0
		Nickel, Total	0.3	8.1	2.4	6.0
		Lead, Total	4.6	4.6	0.00	6.0
		Selenium, Total	3.0	2.7 u	me son I ha	6.0
		Vanadium, Total	37.0	34.7	6.4 My 124	6.0
		Zinc. Total	33.8	29.8	12.6	6.0

INORGANICS LABORATORY CONTROL STANDARDS REPORT 08/23/05

CLIENT: TNUHANFORD 804-002 H3321 WORK ORDER: 11343-606-001-9999-00 LVL LOT #: 0508L141

			SPIKED	SPIKED		
SAMPLE	SITE ID	ANALYTE	SAMPLE	AMOUNT	UNITS	*RECOV
*** ****	232422222242244	****************			752464	351111
LCS1	05L0471-LC1	Silver, LCS	50.4	50.0	MG/KG	100.8
		Arsenic, LCS	966	1000	MG/KG	96.6
		Boron, LCS	479	500	MG/KG	95.8
	·	Barium, LCS	504	500	MG/KG	100.8
		Beryllium, LCS	25.4	25.0	MG/KG	101.6
		Cadmium, LCS	25.1	25.0	MG/KG	100.4
		Cobalt, LCS	256	250	MG/KG	102.6
		Chromium, LCS	51.7	50.0	MG/KG	103.4
		Copper, LCS	127	125	MG/KG	101.7
		Manganese, LCS	77.2	75.0	MG/KG	102.9
	•	Molybdenum, LCS	512	500	MG/KG	102.4
		Nickel, LCS	201	200	MG/KG	100.6
		Lead, LCS	250	250	MG/KG	100
		Selenium, LCS	937	1000	MG/KG	93.7
		Vanadium, LCS	257	250	MG/KG	102.8
		Zinc, LCS	100	100	MG/KG	100
LCS1	05C0210-LC1	Mercury, LCS	6.7	6.2	MG/KG	107.5